

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT В 49617-P020US 05/19/97 COWLESON 08/858,586

LMC1/0822

DAVID H. TANNENBAUM FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI 2200 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2800 DALLAS TX 75201

EXAMINER						
HARVEY, M						
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					
2747	17					
ATE MAILED:	18/22/00					

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

			ADV	ISORY ACTION				
A TH	HE PERIO	D FOR RESPONSE:						
(a) 2	is exten	ded to run	or continues	to run	from the da	te of the final rejection		
b) X	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
☐ A	ppellants	Brief is due in accorda	nce with 37 CFR 1.192	(a).				
× Å	pplicant's i	response to the final re application in condition	ejection, filed	24 of has been	considered with th	e following effect, but it is not deemed		
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:							
,	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.							
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).							
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).							
	d. [] T	hey are not deemed t ppeal.	o place the application	n better form for app	eal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for		
	e. 🗡	hey present additional	claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding n	umber of finally rej	ected claims.		
	NOTE:	new claires	50-48	13:20	٠. ٥٠ س ع	ve		
2.	Newly p	proposed or amended allowable claims.	daims	would be allowed	I if submitted in a s	separately filed amendment cancelling		
3. 🔲	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:							
	Claims a	allowed:			_			
		•			_			
	Claims r	ejected:			-			
	☐ App	However;	overcome the following	rejection(e):		•		
				rejection(s).				
4.	The affic	davit, exhibit or reques	t for reconsideration ha	s been considered bu	it does not overcor	me the rejection because		
5. 🎵	The affid	avit or oxhibit will not	no considered because	analisant bas ast she				
٠. ⊔	presente			applicant has not sho	wii good and sum	cent reasons why it was not earlier		
☐ The	proposed	drawing correction	has has not b	een approved by the	examiner	m. 11.		
□ ot				os approved by the	vomilliti.	·· sois / ty		
						MINSUN OH HARVEY		

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)